An Evidence-Informed Approach: *Building Student Success Interventions that Work*

Ross Markle, Ph.D.	Andrea Pope, Ph.D.
Founder, Managing Dir.	Director of Action

See our sessions at Innovations and download PPTs





Register for our April webinar with the League



Challenges in converting theory to practice

What are noncognitive skills?

The behavioral, motivational, emotional, and social domains of student success



Poropat (2009) Meta-analysis



Construct	r _{gpa}	r _{retention}	
Academic-related Skills	.129	.301	
Academic Goals	.155 .212		
Academic Self-efficacy	.378	.259	
Institutional Commitment	.108	.206	
Social Support	.096	.204	
SES	.155	.212	
ACT® or SAT® Scores	.368	.121	

Robbins, et al. (2004) meta-analytic correlations with retention, GPA

Achievement, Behavior, and Success in Developmental Math Courses

		Effort Level (participation, attendance, complete assignments)		
		High	Medium	Low
	High	92%	80%	59%
Math Readiness	Medium	86%	67%	29%
	Low	74%	50%	19%

n=713

Li., K., et al. (2013). Readiness, behavior, and foundational

mathematics course success. Journal of Developmental Education,



Higher Education Collaborators

13 (1), 14-22.

IΑ

What are noncognitive skills?

The behavioral, motivational, emotional, and social domains of student success



"Scholars base most research on retention on sociological principles and theory, and focus on **groups rather than individuals**. As a result, we know that some groups of students, such as **educationally disadvantaged students and certain minority groups**, often adapt poorly to their college environments.

We know less about the characteristics of individuals within such a group that increase the likelihood of their remaining in school until graduation."



Bean & Eaton (1995)

But where do these data plug into our institutions?



Are noncognitive skills curricular or co-curricular?

Co-Curricular

- Noncognitive factors predict/ relate to other learning
- Assessments are predictive, diagnostic, remedial in nature
- Items are some derivative of self-report
- Use is secondary to teachers/ faculty

Curricular

- Noncognitive factors ARE the learning
- Assessments determine the achievement of skill
- Items are criterion-referenced (right, wrong answers)
- Use is primary to teachers/ faculty



Higher Education Collaborators



5-minute activity:

Pick a factor as an outcome you want to impact in students...

1. Why is that important? (e.g., retention, completion of gateway courses, DEI)

2. How would you define that?

3.What type of intervention might be helpful to develop this outcome?



Reflecting on that activity







What challenges did you have in selecting a factor? How did you come up with your definition?

How did you "design" your intervention?



Developing evidenceinformed interventions

If evidence isn't guiding our work...



"I think you should be more explicit here in step two."



...then what is?





CAS Professional Standards for Higher Education (2023)

CAS General Standards Related to Evidence-Informed Practice

Standard 2.3.1: The functional area must provide a research-informed, theory-informed, or evidence-based rationale for designing programs and services, strategies, and tactics intended to influence student learning, development, and success goals.

Standard 4.1.10: The functional area must use assessment data, research, and theory to review and revise program mission, goals, and outcomes in a regular cycle.

Standard 4.3.1: The functional area must use theory, research, and evidence to develop and implement its programs and services to achieve stated mission, goals, and outcomes.

Standard 4.6.5: The functional area must use evidence from assessment activities and research to inform decision-making and continuous improvement.

Standard 6.5.2: Functional area leadership must utilize research, scholarship, evidence, philosophies, principles, and values to guide the work of the functional area.

Standard 6.5.4: Functional area leadership must develop, adapt, and improve programs and services in response to the needs of changing environments, populations served, current research, and evolving institutional priorities.

Types of Evidence

Theory & Empirical Research | Institution-Specific Data | Faculty/Staff Knowledge & Experience | Student Knowledge & Experience

What do we **believe** <u>should</u> work to improve student success?

What do we **know** <u>can</u> work to improve student success?

What's **most** <u>needed</u> at your institution to improve student success?

What is **most** <u>likely to work</u> at your institution to improve student success? Theory (ideally relevant with respect to outcome, population, and setting)

Empirical research on the effectiveness of student support interventions

Institutional data (on students, faculty/staff, or programs/processes)

Faculty/staff knowledge & experience Student knowledge & experience





A Four-Step Approach

1. Specify Appropriate Distal Outcome(s)

What is the ultimate aim of your intervention? What problem do you want to solve/goal do you want to achieve?

- Is the distal outcome **malleable** and **feasible**? (Theory/Research)
- Is the distal outcome relevant and important? (Institutional Data; Stakeholder Insights)

2. Specify Intermediate (More Proximal) Outcomes

What intermediate steps must be taken to achieve the distal outcome?

- What is the etiology (cause/origin) of the problem? What is known about how to achieve the goal? (Theory/Research)
- What path to achieving the distal outcome is most **appropriate** and **feasible** in your context? (Institutional Data; Stakeholder Insights)



Pope, A. M., Finney, S. J., & Bare, A. K. (2019). The Essential Role of Program Theory: Fostering Theory-Driven Practice and High-Quality Outcomes Assessment in Student Affairs. Research & Practice in Assessment, 14, 5-17.



A Four-Step Approach

3. Develop Intervention Components

What are the specific components of your intervention (e.g., pedagogical strategies, discussions/activities, instructor/facilitator training, tools/resources) and how will they lead to achievement of the intermediate outcomes?

- What interventions should impact the intermediate outcomes? (Theory)
- What interventions have been shown to impact the intermediate outcomes? For whom and under what conditions? (Empirical Research)
- What interventions are most **appropriate** and **feasible** in your context? (Institutional Data; Stakeholder Insights)



Pope, A. M., Finney, S. J., & Bare, A. K. (2019). The Essential Role of Program Theory: Fostering Theory-Driven Practice and High-Quality Outcomes Assessment in Student Affairs. Research & Practice in Assessment, 14, 5-17.

Description:

- Mandatory 8-week course for students on academic probation
- Taught by student leaders
- Class sessions on time management, campus resources, communicating with professors, writing skills, goal-setting, etc.

Distal Outcomes:

- Increase cumulative GPA
- Increase retention



ETS *SuccessNavigator* based on an extensive review of the academic success and retention literature.

 Identified 4 general skill areas and 10 subskills



ETS SuccessNavigator based on an extensive review of the academic success and retention literature.

- Identified 4 general skill areas and 10 subskills
- Based on the SN academic success framework, created 21 student learning outcomes (SLOs)

General Skill Subskill		Student Learning Outcomes	
		As a result of completing the SAS program students will:	
Academic Skills	Organization	Be able to apply at least 1 organizational strategy that promotes the ability to organize academic assignments and manage time effectively.	
		Achieve a satisfactory score * on Organization subscale of SuccessNavigator.	
Tools and strategies for academic success	Meeting Class Expectations	Be able to list 3 general class expectations that promote academic success.	
		Be able to list 2 resources that clarify specific expectations for each course.	
		Achieve a satisfactory score* on Meeting Class Expectations subscale of <i>SuccessNavigator</i> .	
Commitment Active pursuit toward an academic goal	Commitment to College Goals	Be able to identify their personal motivations for pursuing a college education.	
		Be able to develop three academic SMART goals to help them succeed.	
		Achieve a satisfactory score* on Commitment to College Goals subscale of <i>SuccessNavigator</i> .	
	Institutional Commitment	Be able to identify 2 sources of institutional pride they hold for JMU.	
		Achieve a satisfactory score * on Institutional Commitment subscale of <i>SuccessNavigator</i> .	
	Sensitivity to Stress	Be able to identify 2 stress management techniques to help minimize the effects of stress.	
		Achieve a satisfactory score* on Sensitivity to Stress subscale of <i>Success Navigator</i> .	
Self-Management Reactions to academic	Academic Self-Efficacy	Achieve a satisfactory score* on Academic Self-Efficacy subscale of <i>SuccessNavigator</i> .	
and daily stress	-	Be able to identify 3 symptoms of test-anxiety and list 3 strategies to minimize its effects.	
	Test Anxiety	Achieve a satisfactory score* on the Test Anxiety subscale of SuccessNavigator.	

Self-Efficacy Theory:

- Bandura (1977) Theory of Self-Efficacy
- Schunk's (1985) application of Bandura's theory to college students

Self-Efficacy Theory

Cognitive modeling that includes verbalization of task strategies, the intention to persist despite problems, and confidence in achieving eventual success

> Explicit training in strategies for accomplishing tasks

Performance feedback that points out correct operations, remedies, errors, and reassures students that they are developing content mastery

Attributional feedback that emphasizes the successes being achieved and attributes these successes to the combination of sufficient ability and reasonable effort

Encouraging students to set goals prior to working on tasks (goals that are challenging but attainable, phrased in terms of specific performance standards, and oriented toward immediate shortterm-outcomes

Incorporating vicarious experiences or modeling by others that students may see as similar to themselves



Self-Efficacy Theory:

- Bandura (1977) Theory of Self-Efficacy
- Schunk's (1985) application of Bandura's theory to college students



But where do these interventions go?

Intervention Capital



- 1. Pedagogical approaches
- 2. Collaboration with other offices, programs, services
- 3. Curricular approaches: Course content, class activities
- 4. Human resources (i.e., strategic planning, cultural development, training of faculty/staff)
- 5. Environment



Factor	Resource	
Organization	Academic Success (tutoring, academic coaching, EDGE 120/130)	
Organization	Creighton EDGE	
Organization	Student Counseling	
Organization	SSS	
Quality Focus	Student Counseling Services	
Quality Focus	Creighton Grow	
Quality Focus	Career Center	
Quality Focus	Student Leadership & Involvement Center	
Quality Focus	Student Employment	
Quality Focus	Creighton EDGE	
Quality Focus	Academic Success Coaching	
Quality Focus	Writing Center	
Quality Focus	Communication Center	
Quality Focus	Residential Life	
Quality Focus	Reinert Library	
Engagement	Student Leadership & Involvement Center	
Engagement	Schlegel Center for Service & Justice	Colloberation with
Engagement	Campus Ministry	
Engagement	Creighton Intercultural Center	
Engagement	Campus Recreation & Wellness	othor officer
Engagement	CLIRAS (research)	other offices,
Engagement	Career Center (internshins)	
Engagement	Student Employment	nrograms
Engagement	Global Engagement Office (ELPAs Study Abroad)	programs,
Engagement	Office of Pre-Professional Advising (learning communities)	
Goal Commitment	Office of Student Retention	Services:
Goal Commitment	Student Counseling Services	
Goal Commitment	Campus Ministry	
Goal Commitment	SSS (first gen and low-income students)	
Goal Commitment	Advisor OR Asst. Dean for Advising in Schools/Colleges	
Goal Commitment	Office of Pre-Professional Advising	Co-curricular
Sense of Belonging	Creighton Intercultural Center	oo ourround
Sense of Belonging	Campus Ministry	
Sense of Belonging	Schlegel Center for Service & Justice	alignment
Sense of Belonging	Creighton EDGE	g
Institutional Commitment	Campus Ministry	
Institutional Commitment	Schlegel Center for Service & Justice	
Institutional Commitment	Student Leadership & Involvement Center (fraternity and sorority life)	
Institutional Commitment	CURAS (research)	
Institutional Commitment	Advisor/Major Advisor/Asst Dean of Advising	
Institutional Commitment	Campus Recreation & Wellness	
Help Seeking	Student Counseling Services	
Help Seeking	Office of Student Retention	
Help Seeking	Academic Success	
Help Seeking	Creighton EDGE	
Help Seeking	Student Life	
Help Seeking	VIP	
Help Seeking	Financial Aid Office	
Help Seeking	Business Office	
Help Seeking	Creighton Cupboard	
Heln Seeking	Mission & Ministry	



Pedagogical Approaches

- 1. Faculty can connect students with other offices, programs, resources on campus that address key noncognitive areas
 - a. Be familiar with resources
 - b. Connect with colleagues in cocurricular areas
 - c. Engage co-curricular resources in your classroom

Faculty development for...

- 2. Growth Mindset
- 3. Engagement
- 4. Sense of Belonging
- 5. Self-Efficacy

Week	Торіс	Description	Activity	Exercises
Week l	Introduction	Review the importance of noncognitive skills in student success. It will also introduce and allow time to complete the ISSAQ Student Survey.	ISSAQ Assessment	ISSAQ Reflection*
Week 2	Sense of Belonging	Discuss students' reflections on their ISSAQ-SS. Students break into groups to discuss areas of interest from the survey. Finally, a discussion on the importance of connection and social networks. *Potential collaboration with student affairs/clubs/orgs.	Group discussions	
Week 3	Help Seeking	Class discussion on the importance of asking for help. Review various campus resources and discuss "Research-a-resource" assignment.		Research-a-Resource*
Week 4	Organization	Discuss "2-for-1" expectation in college-level courses. Students complete a "time budget" and discuss various ways they use (and could better use) their time.	Time Budget	
Week 5	Engagement	Given that students have settled into their courses, discuss the differences between high school and college-level courses. Emphasize shift in responsibility and variance across classes/instructors.		The College Level Class*
Week 6	Quality Focus	Discuss importance of quality, drafts, and iterative work. *Potential collaborations with writing center, tutoring center.		
Week 7	Calmness & Coping	Discuss stressors and challenges of college life. Participate in guided meditation. Review coping strategies. *Potential collaboration with counseling center.	Meditation	
Week 8	Self-Efficacy	Discuss the role of self-efficacy in success. *Potential collaboration with psychology dept.		A Year from Now*
Week 9	Effort & Persistence	The goal of this section is to emphasize the role of effort in success. Students can discuss times they failed and persisted.	Brain plasticity	
Week 10	Goal Commitment	As the semester concludes, students can review their reasons for attending college and goals. *Potential collaborations with advising, career center.		My College Plan*

ISSAQ-FYE Course Outline

An Example Assessment Theory of Action





5-minute activity:

Think of your intervention (or even any program you have on campus)

1. What are the key elements of your intervention (e.g., activities, resources, interactions)

2. How are those used by various constituencies to reach your intermediate and distal outcomes?



Thank you! Any questions?

Ross Markle, Ph.D.

Andrea Pope, Ph.D.

Founder, Managing Dir. <u>ross@diahighered.com</u>

Director of Aciton andrea@diahighered.com

See our sessions at Innovations and download PPTs





Register for our April webinar with the League

DIA Higher Education Collaborators